28

1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	7	
8	CHADERICK A. INGRAM,	No. C -12-04246 EDL
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER REVOKING <u>IN FORMA</u> <u>PAUPERIS</u> STATUS
10	0 v.	THE DAIL
11	CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	On September 5, 2012, the Court granted Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperi	
15	and dismissed Plaintiff's complaint with prejudice. On September 20, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Notice	
16	of Appeal, stating that the Court "dismissed [his] civil case illegally," but failing to explain how the	
17	Court erred. See Docket No. 7.	
18	On September 26, 2012, the Ninth Circuit issued a Referral Notice to this Court to determine	
19	"whether in forma pauperis status should continue for this appeal or whether the appeal is frivolous	
20	or taken in bad faith." The Court determines that the appeal is frivolous and hereby REVOKES	
21	Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); Hooker v. American Airlines, 302	
22	F.3d 1091 (9th Cir. 2002).	
23	The Clerk of Court is Ordered to notify the Ninth Circuit and the parties of the Court's	
24	decision.	Elizab ? D. Laporte
25	Dated: October 4, 2012	ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE
26	5	United States Magistrate Judge
27	₇	